

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*
For Organophosphate Poisoning Induced Intermediate Syndrome Is a Spectrum Disorder

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract Page 3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Pages 2-4
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Pages 5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Page 5
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper Page 6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Page 6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Page 6
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Not applicable
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Not applicable
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Pages 6-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Pages 6-7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at The study was planned to conduct from January 26 and February 5 of 2020 recruiting all eligible consenting patients admitted to two sections (one for severe patients, another for mild or moderate patients) of Chongqing Public Health Medical Center, the designated hospital for COVID-19 treatment in Chongqing central area.
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why Page 7
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed

(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

All in Page 7

Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Page 9, table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Supplementary Figure 1 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Supplementary Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders Page 9, table 1 and Supplementary Table 1-2 (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest in each Table, Supplementary Figure 1, and Page 11 (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) Page 6
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Pages 9 and Supplementary Table 4
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included Page 12 and Table 6 (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Yes, in each table. (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Not applicable
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Not applicable
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Page 13-14
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Page 14
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Pages 14
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Page 14
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based Yes, in Page 15

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at <http://www.strobe-statement.org>.